

**BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER, HR&CE ADMN.DEPARTMENT,CHENNAI-34.**

**Friday the 5<sup>th</sup> day of September, Two Thousand and Fourteen**

**Present: Thiru P.Dhanapal., M.A.,B.L.,  
Commissioner.**

**A.P. 20/2014**

**Between**

- 1. Murugesan.**
- 2. Ramakrishnan.**
- 3. Asokan.**
- 4. Kandasamy.**
- 5. Murugan.**
- 6. Palanisamy.**
- 7. Rajendran.**
- 8. Jeganathan.**
- 9. Dhanapal.**
- 10. Dhiraviam.**
- 11. Muthukrishnan.**
- 12. Subramanian.**

**.. .. Appellants.**

**And**

- 1. The Joint Commissioner,  
HR&CE Admn Department,  
Madurai.**
- 2. Sundaram.**
- 3. Nagappan (died)**
- 4. Chidambaram.**
- 5. Durairaj.**
- 6. Veerabathran.**
- 7. Bose.**
- 8. Ravi.**

**.. Respondents**

**In the matter of Arulmighu Akora Veerabathraswamy Temple, Natham  
Town and Taluk, Dindigul District.**

**Appeal Petition filed under Section 69(1) of the Tamil Nadu H.R. & C.E.  
Act, 1959 (Tamil Nadu Act 22 of 1959) against the order dated 20.03.2014 of  
the Joint Commissioner, HR&CE Admn. Department, Madurai in I.A. - /2012  
in O.A. 7/2006 filed under Section 64 (1) of the Act.**

**Order in R.Dis. A.P. 20/2014 D2 dated : 5.9.2014**

**The above Appeal petition having come on for final hearing before me on  
11.7.2014 in the presence of Thiru M. Rukmangathan, Counsel for the**

appellants and M/s. A. Haja Mohideen, Counsel for the Respondents. Upon hearing their arguments and after perusing the connected records and the matter having stood over for consideration till this day, the following order is passed.

### ORDER

The order dated 20.3.2014 of the Joint Commissioner, Madurai in I.A. Nil/2012 in O.A.7/2006 is hereby confirmed. It is open to the appellants to raise their objection before the Joint Commissioner. While deciding the O.A., the Joint Commissioner should consider the following points.

(1) The order passed by the Deputy Commissioner in O.A. 8/1985 and 12/1985 and order in A.P. 29/1988.

(2) Whether the petitioner and respondent got declaration before the competent Civil Court.

(3) If so, whether framing of scheme is necessary when statute provides for election of Board of Trustees.

With the above directions, the appeal petition is disposed of.

/typed to dictation/

Sd. P. Dhanapal,  
Commissioner.

/true copy/by order/

Superintendent.

**Note:**

Certified copy of annexure to the order containing the grounds will be furnished on receipt of a regular copy application duly affixed with a Court fee stamp of 0.25 p. with sufficient number of copy stamp papers valued at Re.1/ To

1. The Appellants through Thiru M. Rukmangathan, Advocate, No. 65, Canal Bank Road, Raja Annamalaipuram, Chennai-28.
2. The Respondents through M/s A. Haja Mohideen, Advocate, No.10, Law Chamber, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

**Copy to:**

3. The Joint Commissioner, HR & CE Admn.Dept., Madurai.  
(with a copy of annexure to order).
10. The Assistant Commissioner, HR&CE Admn.Dept., Dindigul.(with a copy of annexure to order)
11. The Inspector, HR&CE Admn,Department, Natham, Dindigul Dist.
12. Extra.