

BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER, H.R. & C.E. ADMN. DEPARTMENT, CHENNAI.34.

Monday the 28<sup>th</sup> day of October, Two thousand and thirteen.

Present : Thiru P. Dhanapal, M.A.,B.L.,  
Commissioner.

A.P. 59/2012 D2

**Between.**

1. K. Pitchai, S/o Kandasamy.
2. A. Amulraj, S/o Arunachalam.
3. M. Muthusamy, S/o Muthian,
4. C. Ravi S/o Chinnathambi.
5. M. Subramanian S/o Muthukumarasamy.
6. M. Narayanasamy, S/o Muthaian.
7. S. Anbalagan S/o Settu.
8. V. Baskar, S/o Vaithilingam.
9. M. Neelamegam S/oMuthian.

**Appellants.**

**And**

1. The Joint Commissioner, HR & CE  
Admn.Dept. Tiruchy.
2. The Executive Officer, Arulmighu  
Jambugeswarar Akilandeswarari Temple,  
Thiruvanaikaval.
3. T. Marimuthu, S/o Thangarasu.
4. The Executive Officer, Arulmighu  
Ambaravaneswarar Temple, Mandhurai,  
Lalgudi Taluk, Tiruchy District.

... **Respondents.**

5. Maruthai S/o Ammasi.
6. Settu S/o Thangaiyan.

**..Impleading  
Respondents.**

In the matter of Arulmighu Thiruvaiamman, Mavadi Karuppu,  
Panaiyadi Karuppasamy Temple, Esanaikorai village, Lalgudi Taluk,  
Tiruchy District.

Appeal petition filed under Section 69(1) of the Tamil Nadu H.R.&  
C.E. Act, 1959 (Tamil Nadu Act 22 of 1959) against the Order dated  
4.5.2012 of the Joint Commissioner, HR & CE Admn.Dept., Tiruchy in  
dismissing the O.A.3/2010 filed under Section 63 (e) of the Act.

**Order in R.Dis. A.P. 59/2012 (D2) dated : 28.10.2013.**

The above appeal petition having come on for final hearing before me on 3.9.2013 before me in the presence of Thiru W.C. Thiruvengadam, Counsel for the Appellants, Thiru K. Jayaraman, Counsel for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent, Thiru V. Rengarajan, Counsel for the 4<sup>th</sup> Respondent, and Thiru N. Sathyamoorthy, Counsel for the Respondents 5 and 6. Upon hearing their arguments and after perusing the records, and the matter having stood over for consideration till this day, the following order is made.

**ORDER**

The Assistant Commissioner, Tiruchy is directed to take necessary steps to bring about consensus among villagers regarding conduct of festivals in future. He should convene a meeting of villagers in the presence of the Revenue and Police Department officials and decide festival programme. The 4<sup>th</sup> Respondent/Executive Officer should conduct the festival as per the programme decided in the said meeting with the co-operation of the villagers. Both the appellants and respondents should co-operate with the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent to conduct the festival peacefully. With the above direction, the appeal petition is disposed of.

/typed to dictation/

Sd. P. Dhanapal,  
Commissioner.

/ true copy/by order/

Superintendent.

**Note:**

Certified copy of annexure to the order containing the grounds will be furnished on receipt of a regular copy application duly affixed with a Court fee stamp of 00.25 p. with sufficient number of copy stamp papers valued at Re.1/-

(p.t.o.)

**To**

- 1. The Appellants through Thiru W.C. Thiruvengadam, Advocate, No. 23, Bagavantham Street, T.Nagar, Chennai.17.**
- 2. The Executive Officer through Thiru V. Rangarajan, Advocate, No.82, Law Chambers, High Court Buildings, Chennai. 104.**
- 3. The 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent through Thiru K. Jayaraman, Advocate, No.35, Law Chambers, High Court Buildings, Chennai.104.**
- 4. The Respondents 5 & 6 through Thiru N. Sathyamoorthy, Advocate, No.62, Law Chambers, High Court Buildings, Chennai 104.**

**Copy to:**

- 5. The Joint Commissioner, HR&CE Admn.Dept., Tiruchy.  
(along with file in O.A. 3/2010).**
- 5. The Inspector, HR&CE Admn.Department, Lalgudi.**
- 6. The Assistant Commissioner, HR&CE Admn.Department, Tiruchy.**
- 7. Extra.**